

The Luxembourg Group on Remittances

Fernando Lemos (BCB) and Jens Reinke (IMF)

The International Working Group

- ◆ G8 Heads of State in 2004 meeting emphasize role of remittances in economic development.
- ◆ G7 Finance Ministers request formation of working group under World Bank leadership to improve data.
- ◆ They also request an “International Working Group” to oversee various activities related to improving data.

International Meeting in 2005

- ◆ Meeting with over 60 compilers and data users held in January 2005.
- ◆ Helps to define data needs.
- ◆ Official formation of the working group, constituted by the World Bank, IMF, and country compiling agencies.
- ◆ Working group will coordinate twin objectives of the remittances project.

Two Main Objectives

- ◆ New definitions for remittances, to be incorporated in the forthcoming sixth edition of the *Balance of Payments Manual (BPM6)*;
- ◆ Compilation guidance for help with data sources and estimation methods.

Definitions of Remittances

- ◆ UN Interagency Task Force on Statistics of International Trade in Services, the Technical Sub-Group on the Movement of Natural Persons (TSG) developed new definitions in consultation with compilers.
- ◆ Other changes related to migration and remittances are adopted by the IMF Balance of Payments Committee.
- ◆ All changes are within the balance of payments framework, but harmonized with revision of the System of National Accounts.

New Definitions of Remittances

- ◆ “Personal transfers”: New standard component to replace “workers’ remittances”;
- ◆ Three new supplementary items to capture components of income and transfers; and
- ◆ Removal of “migrants transfers” and the concept of migrant from the BOP framework.

Personal Transfers

- ◆ “Personal transfers” will cover all current transfers between resident and nonresident households.
- ◆ The concept is based neither on migration nor employment status.
- ◆ It is simpler and avoids the problems and inconsistencies of the previous concept.

Personal Remittances

- ◆ Personal remittances are the sum of personal transfers and compensation of employees less taxes, travel expenses etc (CoE-).
- ◆ CoE- refers to the compensation of nonresident workers less the costs associated with working abroad.
- ◆ Conceptually appealing, but possible only as supplementary item.

Total Remittances

- ◆ “Total remittances” includes personal remittances plus social benefits.
- ◆ “Total remittances and transfers to NPISHs” includes total remittances plus all transfers to NPISHs.
- ◆ Data users are not unanimous about their usefulness.
- ◆ Possible only as supplementary items.

Supplementary Items

- ◆ Supplementary items are not part of the standard presentation.
- ◆ They are not necessarily identified in all relevant publications (e.g., *IFS*).
- ◆ They may be calculated from standard components but can conflict with the BOP structure.

Migrants' Transfers

- ◆ IMF proposed and BOPCOM agreed to abolish the concept of migrants' transfers (in 2005).
- ◆ Migrants' transfers are confusing and often misinterpreted.
- ◆ Changes in the assets and liabilities of countries due to individuals changing residence will be recorded as "other changes".
- ◆ Migrants' effects will be excluded from trade data.

Bilateral Data

- ◆ Many data users express a need for bilateral data.
- ◆ Although desirable, complete global bilateral data is not realistic.
- ◆ Bilateral data on major "corridors" should be encouraged.

The Need for Better Compilation Guidance

- ◆ Many countries do not report compensation of employees or workers' remittances;
- ◆ Data coverage and accuracy is often lower than for other types of transactions;
- ◆ Data sources are difficult to develop; and
- ◆ Global discrepancies are large and growing.

Table – Global Flows and Discrepancies

	2002	2003	2004	2005
Credit	142,788	172,950	197,023	223,161
Debit	133,966	147,593	166,240	179,633
Global discrepancy	8,821	25,357	30,783	43,528

Source: *Balance of Payments Statistics Yearbook 2006, Part 2*.

Note: Data refer to the sum of "compensation of employees" and "workers' remittances".
All data are in millions of US\$.

The Luxembourg Group

- ◆ Luxembourg Group set up as voluntary group of compilers.
- ◆ Forum for collecting information and developing ideas for better compilation.
- ◆ Inventory of relatively successful compilation approaches at first stage.
- ◆ Then identification of constraints and findings solutions.

First Luxembourg Meeting

First meeting in June 2006:

- ◆ There is no single best data source on remittances.
- ◆ Combination of sources offers best perspective.
- ◆ Approach must be country-specific. New data initiatives are underway in many countries.

Second Luxembourg Meeting

Main outcomes in December 2006:

- ◆ Inventory of approaches,
- ◆ Agreement on outline of compilation guide,
- ◆ Identification of material and agreement on redrafting schedule,
- ◆ Completion of first draft by June 2007.

Third Luxembourg Meeting

Main outcomes in June 2007:

- ◆ Review of the first complete draft of the Remittances Compilation Guide,
- ◆ Agreement on further changes and additions,
- ◆ Preparing draft for public review between September and November 2007,
- ◆ Aiming for completion of the draft Remittances Compilation Guide by early 2008.

Transactions Reporting

- ◆ Many countries use transactions reporting (ITRS) by banks and foreign exchange bureaus as a source.
- ◆ Some countries have a highly developed ITRS available.
- ◆ Low cost, up-to-date data source (if ITRS already exists).
- ◆ Mostly does not cover informal and in-kind transfers.
- ◆ Depends on changing policy environment.

Direct Reporting

- ◆ Different from ITRS since focused only on remittances.
- ◆ Reporting by “money transfer operators’ (MTOs).
- ◆ Does not rely on settlement data.
- ◆ Successful in Europe, Mexico etc.

Household Surveys

- ◆ Obtain data on remittances from senders and recipients.
- ◆ Methodologically difficult, often expensive and long time lags.
- ◆ Results not proven (underreporting).
- ◆ Perhaps the most desirable source of 'actual' data, if problems can be solved.

Data Models

- ◆ Some use estimates of migrant population and "propensity to remit" to estimate flows.
- ◆ Others use macroeconomic models to calculate remittances as a residual.
- ◆ Easy approach, but lacks validating checks.
- ◆ Fast and cheap!

Related Data Sources

- ◆ Demographic data (migration)
- ◆ Administrative records (social security, work permits etc)
- ◆ Used to fill gaps, check estimates, and supplement transactions data
- ◆ Also underlies (some) data models

Combining Data Sources

- ◆ Most countries combine multiple approaches to capture all relevant transactions.
- ◆ It is problematic to combine diverse data sources.
- ◆ Compilers need to avoid inconsistencies, overlaps etc.

The Compilation Guide

- ◆ Summary of definitions and resulting data needs;
- ◆ A four-step approach to developing a country-specific data development plan;
- ◆ An inventory with possible data sources and compilation approaches, together with an assessment of their strengths and weaknesses;
- ◆ Additional advice on dissemination formats etc.;
- ◆ 14 countries are contributing material;
- ◆ IMF and World Bank will collate and edit the compilation guide;
- ◆ Completion by early 2008.